

# Development of Improved Formability Interstitial Free Steels

Talal Said Abdullah – 3<sup>rd</sup> Year Eng.D

Prof Steve Brown<sup>[1]</sup>, Prof Nick Lavery<sup>[2]</sup>

Dr Geraint Lodwig<sup>[3]</sup>







Engineering and **Physical Sciences Research Council** 





Cronfa Gymdeithasol Ewrop **European Social Fund** 

#### In this presentation:

- 1. Material
- 2. Testing procedure an established method
- 3. Scaling effects on the r-value
- 4. Drawbacks
- 5. Overall purpose





#### Interstitial Free (IF) Steels – DX57

- Interstitial Free (IF) steel DX57
  - Excellent formability & low strength
  - Ferritic Microstructure
  - ο Grain size of 20μm- 50μm
- Application
  - Automotive Complex, formed body exterior & interior





**Figure 2**: SEM image of the DX57 ferritic microstructure on the crosssection. Image shows grain size  $(d_{0})$  ranging from  $20\mu m$ -  $50\mu m$ .



**Figure 1** : Graph of steel grades, on the right is the diagram of the IF's automotive application.

## Rapid Alloy Prototyping (RAP)

- Industrial scaled testing Slow & expensive
- Significantly scaled down production/testing process
  - 20 200 g casts
  - Non-standard Miniaturised tensile
     specimens (MTS); Mini 1 & Mini 2
- But can we achieve representable results?

BSI, BS EN ISO 6892-1:2009; Metallic materials - Part 1: Method of test at ambient, British Standards, 2009, pp. 1-65.

- a. <mark>A80</mark>
- b. <mark>A50</mark>



ASTM, E8/E8M – 09: Standard Test Methods for, American Association State, 2010, pp. 1-27.

a. ASTM 25



L. Zhang et al. "The development of miniature tensile specimens...", *Journal of Materials research and technology*, vol. 15, pp. 1830-1843, 2021.

a. <mark>Mini 1</mark> b. <mark>Mini 2</mark>



,		I
Specimen profile	Length, L <sub>t</sub> (mm)	Gauge Length, L <sub>o</sub> (mm)
A80	260	80
A50	200	50
ASTM 25	100	25
Mini 1	60	10
Mini 2	41	5

**Table 1**: Dimensions of the standard and non-standard tensile specimens.



#### Figure 3 : Visual representation of the specimen sizes relative to each other.

4

#### Equipment

- Tinius Olsen H25KS Tensile test machine
- XSight One video extensometer
  - Tracks the change in length and width by pixels, *figure 4(b)*
  - Measure longitudinal and transverse strain
- Transverse mechanical extensometer
- Samples: A80 Mini 2



L. Zhang et al. "The development of miniature tensile specimens...", *Journal of Materials research and technology*, vol. 15, pp. 1830-1843, 2021.

2.2. Experiment facilities

The same uniaxial tensile machine Tinius-Olsen H25KS was used to conduct the experiments for all specimen sizes. The

to use the traditional clip-on extensioneters. In the current research, a video extensioneter (XSight 9MPX) was adopted to capture the strain for all sizes of tensile specimens. To ensure





*Figure 4*: Testing equipment/apparatus for tensile testing: a) Consists of a tensile machine, video extensometer, and a transverse mechanical clip-on; b) Video extensometer image with longitudinal and transverse strain.

## Data Acquisition



Engineering stress vs engineering strain



Stress, σ (MPa)



Engineering stress vs engineering strain

Strain, ε (%)



#### r-value

- Lankford coefficient (r-value) used to measure the formability/drawability
  - Plastic anisotropy, r-value:

$$r = -\frac{e_{w,True}}{e_{w,True} + e_{l,True}}$$
$$r_{0,45,90} = -\frac{ln(1 + e_{w,Eng})}{ln(1 + e_{w,Eng}) + ln(1 + e_{l,Eng})}$$

• Normal anisotropy  $\bar{r}$ -value:

$$\bar{r} = \frac{1}{4}(r_0 + 2 \cdot r_{45} + r_{90})$$



Y. G. An, H. Vegter, S. Melzer and R. T. P, "Evolution of the plastic anisotropy with straining and its implication on formability for sheet metals" Journal of Materials Processing Technology, no. 213, pp. 1419-1425, 2013.

analyses, and illustrated the dependence of the limiting drawing ratio on the *r*-value for sheets of cubic metals. As a result, the plastic anisotropy has been used widely as a convenient parameter for the drawability of sheet metals. The procedure for the measurement of *r*-value is described in ISO 10113 Metallic Materials – Sheet





*Figure 5*: Illustration of the angle of which the tensile bars are cut for the purpose of measuring the r-value



*Figure 6*: Rectangular blocks of the A80, A50, ASTM 25, Mini1, and Mini 2 waterjet out from a 500x500x0.8 mm DX57 sheet.

#### ISO Standard Strain rates





## A80 data comparison – Mach 1 vs Tata





#### A80 data comparison – Mach 1 vs Tata





#### Purpose of the project?



#### Mini 1 & Mini 2 strain rate study



#### Comparative scaling study of the DX57 r-value

r20% at 0,45,90° to rolling direction





- The r-value trend is followed in all specimen sizes
- Mini 1 appears to sit further above the rest

As sample size decreases the uncertainty of the results increases from A50

ASTM 25

Mini 1

Mini 2 gives the most representable results ٠ but why does it appear to not follow the trend of its former specimens?



Mini2

#### Grain Size dimensional Impact

- Grain size has an impact on the mechanical properties
- Sufficient thickness is paramount to represent the material's polycrystalline behaviour:

 $\frac{t_0}{d_{0,average}} = \frac{0.8 \times 10^{-3}}{35 \times 10^{-6}} = \mathbf{23}$ 



**Figure 7**: SEM image of the DX57 ferritic microstructure on the crosssection. Image shows grain size  $(d_{0})$  ranging from  $20\mu m$ -  $50\mu m$ .



[K. Kumar et al. *"Optimisation of thickness of miniature tensile specimens for evaluation of mechanical properties"*. Materials Science & Engineering A 675 (2016) 32–43.]

- a. YS, UTS and uniform elongation data almost stabilised corresponding to  $t_0/d_0 = 1.5 16$
- b. Also, for n-value:  $\frac{t_0}{d_0}$  1.2 11
- c. For thinner specimens, this resistance to deformation ceases faster due to the fail by voids formation and their coalescence







Figure 8: Side view of a tensile specimen, illustrating its thickness.

### Redesign of Mini 1 & Mini 2

Increase gauge length, L<sub>0</sub>, to acquire a 4:1 aspect ratio configuration

	A80	A50	ASTM 25	MINI 1	MINI 2
lc	120	75	31.53	12.5	9
lo	80	50	25	10	5
bo	20	12.5	6	3	2
Aspect Ratio(lo/bo)	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.3	2.5
Aspect Ratio(lc/bo)	6.00	6.00	5.3	4.2	4.5

 Table 2 : Current dimensions of the Tensile specimens.

#### • $L_0/b_0$ to be changed to 4

-  $L_c/b_o$  seems to display an increase in ratio which opposes the  $L_o/b_o$ 



[O.N. Pierron, D.A. Koss, A.T. Motta. *"Tensile specimen geometry and the constitutive behaviour of Zircaloy-4"*. Journal of Nuclear Materials 312 (2003), 257 – 276.]

- a. Aspect ratio  $(L_0/b_0)$  of 4, provides the most accurate representation of the constitutive behaviour for the material Zircaloy-4
  - i. 4:1 → The only ratio that displayed uniform deformation at maximum load
  - ii. 3:2
  - iii. 1:1





New Mini 1

Figure 9: Engineering drawing of the new Mini 1 tensile specimen.

#### New Mini 2



Figure 10: Engineering drawing of the new Mini 2 tensile specimen.

### Why is this all important?



## Any Questions?

